IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

VINCENT L. MOLINA,

Plaintiff,

v. No. 15-cv-0351 RB/SMV

PHILLIP GREER and ERNESTO R. JACKSON,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel [Doc. 11], filed on June 8, 2015. Plaintiff is incarcerated and proceeding pro se in this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. He urges the Court to appoint counsel for several reasons. He argues that the facts and law are complex, that he lacks education and access to legal resources, and that he has been unsuccessful at acquiring counsel on his own. [Doc. 11]. For example, he states that he does not understand the affirmative defenses raised by Defendants and that he had assistance in drafting the instant motion to appoint counsel. *Id*.

However, United States District Courts lack the authority to appoint counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. *Mallard v. United States Dist. Court*, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, a court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). In deciding whether to request voluntary assistance of counsel, courts should consider "the merits of the litigant's claims, the nature of the factual issues raised in the claims, the litigant's ability to present his claims, and the complexity of the legal issues raised by the claims." *Rucks v. Boergermann*, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir. 1995)

(internal quotation marks omitted). Ultimately, the burden is on the plaintiff "to convince the

court that there is sufficient merit to his claim to warrant [a request for voluntary assistance] of

counsel." Hill v. Smithkline Beecham Corp., 393 F.3d 1111, 1115 (10th Cir 2004) (internal

quotation marks omitted).

At this time, the Court is not convinced that there is sufficient merit or complexity in

Plaintiff's claims to warrant requesting the voluntary assistance of counsel. Moreover, thus far,

Plaintiff has been adequately presenting his claims.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiff's

Motion for Appointment of Counsel [Doc. 11] is **DENIED** at this time;

IT IS SO ORDERED.

STEPHAN M. VIDMAR

United States Magistrate Judge